PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: Samir A. Bhavsar Baker Botts LLP	PCT
2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75201	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
DOCKETED	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 FFB 2007
Applicant's or agent's file reference 075234.0224	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US06/28140	International filing date (day/month/year) 21 July 2006
Applicant CANTOR INDEX LLC	
The applicant is hereby notified that the international	search report and the written opinion of the International Searching

•	Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.			
	Filing of an	nendments and statement under Article 19: int is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):		
	When?	The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.		
	Where?	Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 740 14 35		
	For mor	e detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.		
2.	The applica	ant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under D(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.		
3.	With regar	d to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:		
	the p	protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the icant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.		

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to word or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to word or postpone publication, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau Rules 2006.1 and 9006.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international mublication.

no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of units comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be field if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 onnotats from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant mists to man, which 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

Authorized officer:

Telephone No. 571-272-7774

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

see Form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER

075234.0224		ACTION	as well	as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No. PCT/US06/28140		International filing date (day/me 21 July 2006	onth/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 26 July 2005			
Applicant CANTOR IN	Applicant CANTOR INDEX LLC						
according	to Article 18. A copy is bein ational search report consists	g transmitted to the International I	Burcau.	Authority and is transmitted to the applicant report.			
1. Basis o	f the report						
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))							
ъ.				the international application, see Box No. I.			
2.		d unsearchable (see Box No. II)	discloses in	the international application, see Box 110. I.			
3.	Unity of invention is lack	ing (see Box No. III)					
4. With reg	and to the title, the text is approved as subs the text has been establishe	mitted by the applicant od by this Authority to read as follo	rws:				
5 W/A							
3. With reg	gard to the abstract, the text is approved as subn	in the second					
×	the text has been established	d, according to Rule 38.2(b), by th	is Authority tional search	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant h report, submit comments to this Authority			
6. With reg	ard to the drawings,						
a. the f	igure of the drawings to be p	published with the abstract is Figur	re No. 1				
	as suggested by the ap	plicant					
	as selected by this Au	thority, because the applicant faile	d to suggest	a figure			
	as selected by this Au	thority, because this figure better o	haracterizes	the invention			
ь. 🗌	none of the figures is to be p	oublished with the abstract					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

075234 0224

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US06/28140

Box No. IV

Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet) A system for wagering on a jackpot race event, comprises a memory and a processor. The memory stores a qualifying bet associated with a customer and comprises first and second bet components. The first bet component is associated with a first qualifying race event and comprises a first bet amount. The second bet component is associated with a second qualifying race event and comprises a second bet amount. The processor identifies the outcome of the first and second bet components. If both the first and second bet components are winning bets, the processor allocates to the customer a particular number of jackpot bets for a jackpot race event. The particular number of jackpot bets is based at least in part upon the first bet amount and the second bet amount. The first bet amount is allocated to a common pari-mutuel pool for the first qualifying race event.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

		PCT/US06	/28140		
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(8) - (2007.01) A63F 13/00 USPC - 463/26, 28 USPC - 463/26, 28 Cocording to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed b IPC(8) (2007.01) A63F 13/00 USPC - 463/26-28, 42					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents	are included in the	fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) USPTO EAST System (US, USPG-PUB, EPO, DERWENT), IP.com					
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevan	it passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X US 2002/0177483 A1 (CANNON) 28 November 2002 A US 2005/0032565 A1 (CHENG et al) 10 February 20 A US 2005/0032567 A1 (STRONACH et al) 18 Novemb A US 2005/0107153 A1 (JUBINVILLE et al) 19 May 200	05 (10.02.2005) entire doci per 2004 (18.11.2004) entire 05 (19.05.2005) entire doci	cument re document sument	1-39 1-39 1-39 1-39		
A US 2004/0193531 A1 (AMAITIS et al) 30 September		OCCUTATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	1-39		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. * Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document public				
"A" document defining the general antistation of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance." "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filling date. "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is special reason (as specified). "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "Description of the description of the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Is January 2007 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	date and not in conflicted in the principle or theorem. "X" document of particular considered novel or step when the document of particular considered to involve combined with once being obvious to a periodic document member of Date of mailing of the in 200 FEB 200	lict with the applica my underlying the ir ular relevance; the c r cannot be conside- ment is taken alone alone ilve an inventive si more other such de- eerson skilled in the of the same patent fa	claimed invention cannot be red to involve an inventive claimed invention cannot be tep when the document is ocuments, such combination art		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-3201	Authorized officers Blan PCT Helpdosks 571-272-4300 PGT USP: 571-272-7774	me R. Concnheav	/er		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY							
From the		RCHING AUTH	ORITY				
To: Samir A. Bhavsar Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75201				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
0				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing 20 FEB 2007			
Applican	t's or agent's fil	le reference		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION		
075234	.0224				See paragraph 2 below		
	onal application 06/28140	No.	International filing date 21 July 2006	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26 July 2005		
Internation IPC(8)	onal Patent Clas - (2007.01) / - 463/26, 28	sification (IPC) o A63F 13/00	or both national classificat	ion and IPC			
Applican	CANTOR II	NDEX LLC					
1. This	opinion contair	ns indications rela	ating to the following item	is:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion				
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
▮╚	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement				elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	Box No. VI	Certain docum					
1 1			in the international applic				
"	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the international	application			
	THER ACTIO						
Inten other	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a writine ropinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("PIEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.166(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this a writ	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCTISAC220 to referre the expiration of 23 months from the priority date, whichever expirate later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Mail Stop PC	CT. Attn: ISA/US	of the ISA/US	Date of completion of thi	is opinion	Authorized officers		
P.O. Box 14:	er for Patents 50, Alexandria, Vir		16 January 2007		Blaine Cope The aver		
. acomule i	csimile No. 571-273-3201 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774						

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US06/28140

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With r	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.	claime	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: pe of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	rmat of material on paper in electronic form
	c. tim	ne of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

		Reasoned statement under Rule 436is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statemen	nt				
	Nove	lty (N)	Claims	1-39	YES	
			Claims	None	NO NO	
	Inven	tive step (IS)	Claims	None	YES	
			Claims	1-39	NO NO	
	Indus	trial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-39	YES	
		, ,	Claims	None	NO	

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-39 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Cannon, US Pub No. 2002/0177483 A1.

Referring to claims 1, 14, and 27, Cannon discloses a method and system for a contestant to win wagers on a feature ("jackpot race" as claimed) event by qualifying through a primary event.

Cennon's system end method receives qualifying bets for a primary event comprising a bet component and a bet amount using a purally of gaming units. For a contestant to qualify or a feature event heigher must with higher bets on the gaming units (see sections (0041-0041)). A contestant is able to increase the number of bets heigher with or for the feature event by continuing to play the primary event (0047). A common pari-mutule pool formal is used for the feature event ((0059)).

Cannon does not exclicitly disclose a first end second bet component associated with first and second qualifying race as a claimed. Cannon does disclose that it will be understood their the concept of "winning ewager" (the equivelent to Epickpo bets' as claimed to enter a feature event may be implemented in various manners (0043) and the invention may be susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms (00282). More of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the bet components associated with a primary qualifying event of Carnon and the first and second bet components associated with first and second qualifying race events as claimed are functionally equivelent. Theretor, it would have been ovivous to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to even the extra the component of cannon. Doing so would increase the disclosifier or ordinary and or of ordinary skill in ordinary the component of Cannon. Doing so would increase the disclosifier or ordinary for elective event and win beta for the feature event and win beta feature or and win beta for the feature event and win beta for the feature event of Cannon.

Referring to claims 2, 15, and 28, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system further comprising: determining a first payout for the first bet component:

determining a second payout for the second bet component;

allocating a portion of the first payout to a jackpot rece pool essocieted with the jeckpot race event; and

allocating a portion of the second payout to the jackpot race pool.

Cannon does disclose paying out a primary bet in the form of wagers for a feature event ([[0041-0042]) and funding a "winner take all pool" by a percentage of points wagered ([0050]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize these teachings for both first and second bet components. Doing so would ensure that all bets were accounted for when funding the "winner take all pool"

Referring to claims 3, 16, and 29, Cannon discloses a method end system wherein the following types of bets: an exacta bet; a trifecte bet; en equinella bet; end a superfecta bet, are applicable to a feature event ([0023]). Cannon does not explicitly disclose wherein a first and second bet components comprise these types of bets. However, using the teachings of Cannon, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that the above mentioned bets would be applicable to bet components applied by the system of Cannon. Doing so would provide greater flexibility in the number of betting options.

Referring to claims 4, 17, and 30, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein each bet component comprises a selection of at least two participants in a particular qualifying race event.

Cannon does disclose that it will be understood that the concept of 'winning a wager' (the equivalent to 'packpo best' as claimed) to enter a feature event may be implemented in various manners (0043) and the invention may be assceptible to various modifications and alternative forms (10082). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that multiple alternative forms of 'winning a wager' would be implemented in Cannon's system including selecting at least two participants in a particular qualifying event. Doing so would change the difficulty a contestant faces in trying to win wagers for the feature event in

Referring to claims 5, 18, and 31, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein the qualifying race events are selected from the following types or frace events: a fornes race; ad or gain cape; and an auto race. Cannon does disclose a qualifying event in which gaining units are used for contestants to qualify for a feature event (0041-004f), wherein the feature event may be one of a dog race; and the state of a material event of the state event may be one of an experiment of the state of the state event may be one of these types of events. Doing so provides further feature event may be one of these types of events. Doing so provides further feature event may be one of these types of events.

(Continued in Supplemental Box)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US06/28140

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Box V

Referring to claims 6, 19, and 32, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein the qualifying bet further comprises a third bet component associated with a third qualifying race event, the third bet component associated with the customer councy in the component associated with the customer and the properties of the component associated with the customer and the properties of the component associated with the customer associated

Referring to claims 7, 20, and 33, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein the qualifying but further comprises a third bet component associated with the first gualitying race event, the third bet component associated with the disclosure of the first bet that the component associated with the disclosure of the first bet component and the third bet component is a winning bet. Cannon does disclose that it will be understood that the concept of vivning a wager (the equivalent to jackpot bets' as claimed) to enter a feature event may be implemented in various manners ([0043]) and the invention may be susceptible to various modifications and attendance for ([0042]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in evention may be associated by the control of the disclosure of the control of the

Referring to claims 8, 21, and 34, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein each of the first and second bet components are received in a single transaction. Cannon does disclose that it will be understood that the concept of winning a wager (the equivalent to "jackpot bets" as claimed) to enter a feature event may be implemented in various manners ([0043]) and the invention may be susceptible to various modifications and attenuate forms ([0082]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the vention may be invention to recognize that multiple alternative forms of 'winning a wager' would be implemented in Cannon's and at the time of the invention to recognize that multiple alternative forms of 'winning' a wager' would be implemented in Cannon's avertee may be the second of the control of the control

Referring to claims 9, 22, and 35, Cannon doe not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein the first qualifying reae event and the ascend qualifying near event are selected by the customer from a series of qualifying area events that occur prior to the jackpot reae event. Coarnon does disclose a qualifying event in which a plurality of garning units are used for contestants to qualify or a feature event prior the jackpot reae event ([C047-C044]). A contestant has the ability to play multiple garning units as qualifying event. If would have been the jackpot reae event ([C047-C044]). A contestant has the ability to play multiple garning units as qualifying event. If would have been the prior to the prior t

Referring to claims 10, 23, and 36, Cannon discloses a method and system further comprising dividing substantially equally at least a portion of the jackpot race pool among winning customers for the jackpot race event ([0060]).

Referring to claims 11, 24, and 37, Cannon discloses a method and system wherein the jackpot race pool is associated with a first jackpot race event and further comprising allocating the jackpot race pool to a second jackpot race event (if there are no winning customers for the first jackpot race event (1005) and (1005).

Referring to dains 12, 25, and 38, Cannon does not explicitly disclose a method and system wherein between 10% and 55% of the apyouts are allocated to the jectory trace pool. Cannon does disclose that awards provided for a winning "wagar" in an event may be structured in numerous ways (0568). Cannon also discloses that part-mutual type wagaring may be implemented (0569). Pari-mutual event would have been obtifue, system in which all bets of a particular type are placed together in a pool; taxes and a house take are removed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that in a park-mutual betting system a "house take" and "allocating a percentage of payouts to a jet-point race pool are functionally equivalent as both involves ellocating a percentage of a pool and allocating and percentage of a pool of the production of the production

Referring to claims 13, 26, and 39, Cannon does not disclose a method and system wherein:

each bet component comprises a selection of at least two participants in a particular qualifying race event, each participant associated with odds to win the particular participant participant associated with odds to win the particular participant participants. Cannon does disclose that it will be understood that the concept of "winning a wager (the equivalent to a jackpot beta's actained) to enter a feature event may be implemented in various manners ([0.043]) and the invention may be susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms ([0.082]). Cannon also discloses the use of odds ([0.018]) for a particular area event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that multiple alternative forms of "vinning a wager" would be implemented in Cannon's system including selecting at least two participants associated with odds to win a particular qualifying event. Doing so would change the difficulty a contestant faces in trying to we wagers for the feature event in Cannon's system.

Claims 1-39 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.